## AUTOMORPHISMS IN $c_0$ , $l_1$ AND m

# J. LINDENSTRAUSS AND H. P. ROSENTHAL\*

#### ABSTRACT

It is proved that if  $X = c_0$  or m and if Y and Z are subspaces of X with X/Y and X/Z non-reflexive, then any isomorphism of Y onto Z has an extension to an automorphism of X. A dual result is obtained for  $X = l_1$ .

1. Introduction. The general setting of the problem we intend to study in the present paper is the following: Let X be a Banach space and let Y be a closed subspace of X. Let T be a linear isomorphism (i.e. a bounded one-to-one operator with closed range) from Y into X. Under what conditions can T be extended to an automorphism (i.e. surjective isomorphism) of X. The answer to this question is simple if the dimension of either X/Y or X/TY is finite. In this case it is obvious that a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of T is that  $\dim X/TY = \dim X/Y$ . We restrict ourselves therefore to the study of the question posed above under the assumption that X/Y and X/TY are both infinite-dimensional.

Since every subspace of the Hilbert space  $l_2$  is a complemented subspace and every infinite dimensional subspace of  $l_2$  is isomorphic to  $l_2$  it is obvious that if X is  $l_2$  the required automorphism  $\tilde{T}$  always exists under the assumption we made. Our first result is that the space  $c_0$ , of all sequences of scalars tending to 0 with the sup norm, also has this property. The proof of this fact, as well as the proof of the similar results described below for  $l_1$  and m, uses most of the known special properties of those spaces. We conjecture that this result gives a joint characterization of  $c_0$  and  $l_2$  among all Banach spaces and that actually the following stronger assertion can be made: The spaces  $c_0$  and  $l_2$  are the only "subspace homogeneous" Banach spaces. We call a Banach space X subspace homogeneous if for every pair of isomorphic subspaces Y and Z of X of infinite co-

<sup>\*</sup> This research was partially supported by NSF-GP-8964. Received June 12, 1969, and in revised form July 28, 1969

dimension, there is an automorphism  $\tau$  of X such that  $\tau(Y) = Z$ . If this conjecture is verified it would certainly have significant consequences in the general theory of Banach spaces. At present, however, the interest in the result concerning  $c_0$  (and the similar ones concerning  $l_1$  and m) may emerge from the fact that in several arguments in linear and even non-linear functional analysis which could till now be carried through only for  $l_2$  may now be generalized to one of the three spaces  $c_0$ ,  $l_1$  or m.

The result we prove for  $l_1=c_0^*$  is the dual to that we prove for  $c_0$ . In particular  $l_1$  has the property which we call "quotient homogeneous" which is the natural dual of the property subspace homogeneous. Again we conjecture that only  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  are quotient homogeneous. The third and least elementary of the results of Section 2 gives the answer to the question we stated above for  $X=m=l_1^*$ . The situation here is more involved; the question of reflexivity of X/Y and X/TY and an integer valued invariant of T (which generalizes the invariant  $\dim X/TY - \dim X/Y$ ) enter into the solution. Section 2 ends with a theorem which gives a necessary condition on a subspace Y of m to be such that m/Y is reflexive.

The study of the question treated here was initiated by a problem posed by Berg [2] (cf. Remark 3 following Theorem 3).

Section 3 is devoted to some remarks and a discussion of open problems (including the conjectures we already mentioned above).

Notations. We follow the standard notations (cf. [3] and [4]). Let us only mention that  $X \approx Y$  means that X is isomorphic to Y. A subspace Y of a Banach space X is said to be complemented in X if there is a projection from X onto Y. By operator (resp. projection) we shall always understand a bounded linear operator (resp. projection). The restriction of an operator T defined on X to a subspace Y of X is denoted by  $T_{|Y|}$ .

## 2. The main results. We state first our result concerning $c_0$ .

THEOREM 1. Let Y be a closed subspace of  $c_0$  and let T be an isomorphism of Y into  $c_0$ , such that  $\dim c_0/Y = \dim c_0/TY = \infty$ . Then there is an automorphism  $\tilde{T}$  of  $c_0$  with  $\tilde{T}_{|Y} = T$ .

For the proof we need several known facts concerning  $c_0$ .

LEMMA 1. a) Let  $Z \supset Y$  be separable Banach spaces and let T be an operator from Y into  $c_0$ . Then T has an extension  $T_0$  to an operator from Z to  $c_0$ .

- b) An infinite dimensional subspace of  $c_0$  is complemented in  $c_0$  if and only if it is isomorphic to  $c_0$ .
- c) Let Y be a closed subspace of  $c_0$  with  $\dim c_0/Y = \infty$ . Then there is a projection P in  $c_0$  such that PY = 0 and  $\dim Pc_0 = \infty$ .

**Proof.** Part a is due to Sobczyk [18] while part b is due Pełczyński [9] (this paper of Pełczyński also contains a nice proof of Sobczyk's result). We prove now part c. Since  $l_1$  does not have a reflexive infinite dimensional subspace the quotient space  $c_0/Y$  is not reflexive. Hence the quotient map  $\phi: c_0 \to c_0/Y$  is not w compact. By a result of Pełczyński [10, Theorem 1] there is a subspace U of  $c_0$  which is isomorphic to  $c_0$  and such that  $\phi_{|U}$  is an isomorphism. By part a there is a projection Q from  $c_0/Y$  onto  $\phi U$ . The projection  $P = (\phi_{|U})^{-1}Q\phi$  has the desired properties.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $T: Y \to c_0$  be an isomorphism into with  $\dim c_0/Y = \dim c_0/TY = \infty$ . By Lemma 1c there are projections P and Q in  $c_0$  such that  $Pc_0$  and  $Qc_0$  are infinite dimensional and  $PY = QTY = \{0\}$ . Let I denote the identity operator of  $c_0$ . The space  $(I-Q)c_0$  contains TY and, by Lemma 1b, it is isomorphic to  $c_0$ . Hence, by Lemma 1a, there is an operator  $R: c_0 \to (I-Q)c_0$  such that  $R_{|Y} = T$ . Similarly there is an operator  $S: c_0 \to (I-P)c_0$  auch that  $S_{|TY} = T^{-1}$ . Let  $\tau$  be an isomorphism from  $c_0$  onto  $Qc_0$  (it exists by Lemma 1b). Let the operator  $T_1: c_0 \to c_0$  be defined by  $T_1 = R + \tau(I-SR)$ . Since  $(I-SR)_{|Y} = 0$ . it follows that  $T_{1|Y} = T$ . We show next that  $T_1$  is an isomorphism into  $c_0$ . Let  $x \in c_0$ , then

$$||T_{1}x|| \geq \max\left(\frac{||QT_{1}x||}{||Q||}, \frac{||(I-Q)T_{1}x||}{1+||Q||}\right)$$

$$= \max\left(\frac{||\tau(I-SR)x||}{||Q||}, \frac{||Rx||}{1+||Q||}\right)$$

$$\geq \max\left(\frac{||(I-SR)x||}{||\tau^{-1}|||Q||}, \frac{||Rx||}{1+||Q||}\right)$$

$$\geq \max\left(\frac{||x||-||S|||Rx||}{||\tau^{-1}|||Q||}, \frac{||Rx||}{2||Q||}\right)$$

$$\geq \frac{||x||}{||Q||(2||S||+||\tau^{-1}||)},$$

and this proves our assertion concerning  $T_1$ . Since  $T_1$  is an isomorphism and  $(I-P)c_0$  is a subspace of  $c_0$  of infinite codimension it follows that  $T_1(I-P)c_0$  if also a subspace of  $c_0$  of infinite codimension which is isomorphic to  $c_0$  (if it is infinite dimensional). By Lemma 1b there is a closed subspace U of  $c_0$  such that U is isomorphic to  $c_0$  and  $c_0 = U \oplus T_1(I-P)c_0$ . Let  $\sigma$  be an isomorphism from  $Pc_0$  onto U. Define now  $\tilde{T}: c_0 \to c_0$  by  $\tilde{T} = \sigma P + T_1(I-P)$ . It is easy to check that  $\tilde{T}$  has all the required properties.

COROLLARY. Let Y and Z be two isomorphic closed subspaces of  $c_0$  of infinite codimension. Then there is an automorphism of  $c_0$  which maps Y onto Z. In particular  $c_0/Y$  is isomorphic to  $c_0/Z$ .

REMARK. Since a quantitative version of Lemma 1 is true (e.g. in part a  $T_0$  can be chosen so that  $||T_0|| \le 2 ||T||$  and in part c) P can be chosen so that  $||P|| \le 3$ ) and all the steps of the proof given here are of a cobstructive nature (modulo the Lemma) it follows that there is a suitable  $\tilde{T}$  so that  $||\tilde{T}|| ||\tilde{T}^{-1}|| \le g(||T|| ||T^{-1}||)$  where g is a certain function from  $[1, \infty)$  into itself. (Actually it is not hard to obtain, by following our proof, an explicit formula for a suitable g. We do not think however that such an explicit formula will be of much interest.) The same remark applies also to Theorems 2 and 3 below.

Our next result concerns the space  $l_1$ .

THEOREM 2. Let Y and Z be infinite dimensional closed subspaces of  $l_1$  and let  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  be the canonical quotient maps  $\phi: l_1 \to l_1/Y$ ,  $\psi: l_1 \to l_1/Z$ . Then for every isomorphism T from  $l_1/Y$  onto  $l_1/Z$  there is an automorphism  $\tilde{T}$  of  $l_1$  such that  $\psi \tilde{T} = T \phi$ .

The following diagram explains the situation:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} l_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} l_1/Y \\ \widetilde{T} & & \downarrow T \\ l_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi} l_1/Z \end{array}$$

The theorem holds vacuously if  $l_1/Y$  and  $l_1/Z$  are not isomorphic. For the proof of the theorem we need the following known facts concerning  $l_1$ .

LEMMA 2. a) Let Z and Y be Banach spaces and let  $\phi$  be an operator from Z onto Y. Then for every operator  $T: l_1 \to Y$  there exists an operator  $\tilde{T}: l_1 \to Z$  such that  $\phi \tilde{T} = T$  ( $\tilde{T}$  is said to be a lifting of T).

- b) Every complemented infinite dimensional subspace of  $l_1$  is isomorphic to  $l_1$ .
- c) Every infinite dimensional closed subspace of  $l_1$  contains an infinite dimensional subspace complemented in  $l_1$ .

Part a is a simple and well known consequence of the open mapping theorem (cf. Köthe [6, p. 184]). Part b is due to Pełczyński. [9]. Part c is a refinement of Pełczyński of a result which goes back to Banach (cf. [9]).

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Let  $Y, Z, T, \phi$  and  $\psi$  be as in the statement of the theorem. By Lemma 2b and c there are subspaces U and V of  $l_1$  both of which are isomorphic to  $l_1$  such that  $l_1 = U \oplus V$  and  $U \subset Y$ . Denote by I the identity map of  $l_1$  and by P the projection of  $l_1$  onto V which maps U to 0. Clearly  $\phi = \phi P$  and  $\phi_{|V}$  maps V onto  $l_1/Y$ . By Lemma 2a there is an operator  $R: V \to l_1$  such that  $\psi R = T\phi_{|V}$  and an operator  $S: l_1 \to V$  such that  $\phi S = T^{-1}\psi$ . Let  $\tau$  be an isomorphism from U onto  $l_1$  and let  $l_1: l_1 \to l_1$  be defined by

 $T_1 = (I - RS)\tau(I - P) + RP.$ 

Then

$$\psi T_1 = (\psi - \psi RS)\tau(I - P) + \psi RP$$

$$= (\psi - T\phi S)\tau(I - P) + T\phi P$$

$$= (\psi - TT^{-1}\psi)\tau(I - P) + T\phi$$

$$= T\phi.$$

The operator  $T_1$  is surjective. Indeed,

$$T_1^* = P^*R^* + (I - P^*)\tau^*(I - S^*R^*).$$

Since  $||P^*R^*u^*|| \ge ||R^*u^*||$  for every  $u^* \in l_1^*$ , the same computation as the one we did in the proof of Theorem 1 shows that  $T_1$  is an isomorphism into and thus  $T_1$  is surjective.

Let Q be a projection in  $l_1$  such that  $Ql_1 \subset Z$  and  $\dim Ql_1 = \dim l_1/Ql_1 = \infty$ . Since  $T_1$  is surjective it follows from Lemma 2a that Kernel  $T_1$  is a complemented subspace of  $l_1$ , and thus  $l_1$  can be decomposed into the direct sum (Kernel  $T_1$ )  $\oplus$   $W_1 \oplus W_2$  so that  $T_1W_1 = Ql_1$  and  $T_1W_2 = (I-Q)l_1$ . Since  $\psi T_1 = T\phi$  it follows that (Kernel  $T_1$ )  $\oplus$   $W_1 \subset Y$ . Let  $\sigma$  be an isomorphism from (Kernel  $T_1$ )  $\oplus$   $W_1$  onto  $Ql_1$  (such a  $\sigma$  exists since by Lemma 2b both spaces are isomorphic to  $l_1$ ). Let  $\tilde{T}: l_1 \to l_1$  be the operator uniquely defined by the relations

$$\tilde{T}_{|W_2} = T_{1|W_2}, \quad \tilde{T}_{|(Kernel\ T_1)+W_1} = \sigma.$$

It is easy to check that  $\tilde{T}$  has all the required properties.

COROLLARY. Let Y and Z be infinite-dimensional closed subspaces of  $l_1$  such that  $l_1/Y$  is isomorphic to  $l_1/Z$ . Then Y is isomorphic to Z.

REMARK. The proof we gave for Theorem 2 is just the dual of the argument used in the proof of Theorem 1. However, since we are dealing with non-reflexive spaces, Theorem 2 does not follow from Theorem 1 by a direct duality argument. The dual statement of Theorem 1 is as follows: If Y and Z are  $w^*$  closed subspaces of  $l_1$  of infinite dimension then for every  $w^*$  isomorphism from  $l_1/Y$  onto  $l_1/Z$  there is a  $w^*$  automorphism  $\tilde{T}$  of  $l_1$  such that  $\psi \tilde{T} = T \phi$ .

We pass now to the space m. Let us first recall that an operator  $T: X \to X$  is called a Fredholm operator if  $\dim(\text{Kernel }T) < \infty$  and  $\dim X/TX < \infty$  (as is well known these assumptions already imply that TX is closed in X). The integer  $\dim(\text{Kernel }T) - \dim X/TX$  is called the index of the Fredholm operator T.

THEOREM 3. Let Y be a closed subspace of m and let T be an isomorphism from Y into m such that dim  $m/Y = \dim m/TY = \infty$ . Then

- (i) If m/Y and m/TY are both non-reflexive, there is an automorphism  $\tilde{T}$  of m which extends T (i.e.  $\tilde{T}_{|Y} = T$ ).
- (ii) If exactly one of the spaces m/Y and m/TY is reflexive, T cannot be extended to an automorphism of m.
- (iii) If m/Y and m/TY are reflexive, then every extension of T to an operator from m into itself is a Fredholm operator. The index of this Fredholm operator does not depend on the particular choice of the extension and thus defines an integer-valued invariant of T which we denote by i(T). The operator T has an extension to an automorphism of m if and only if i(T) = 0.
- **Proof.** The proof of part (i) of the theorem is very similar to the proof of Theorem 1. The only difference is that the special properties of  $c_0$  exhibited in Lemma 1 have now to be replaced by the following properties of m:
- LEMMA 3. a) For every Banach spaces  $Z \supset Y$  and every operator  $T: Y \rightarrow m$  there is an operator from Z to m which extends T.
- b) An infinite-dimensional subspace of m is complemented in m if and only if it is isomorphic to m.
- c) Let Y be a closed subspace of m such that m/Y is non-reflexive. Then there is a projection P in m such that PY = 0 and  $\dim Pm = \infty$ .

Part a of the lemma is a well-known immediate corollary of the Hahn-Banach theorem (an operator into m can be considered as a sequence of uniformly bounded linear functionals cf. [3, p. 94]). Part b was first proved in [8] (cf. also [13]). In the proof of Theorem 2 of [13] it is shown that if Y is a subspace of m such that m/Y is non-reflexive then there is a subspace U of m which is isometric to m and such that  $\phi_{|U}$  is an isomorphism (where  $\phi: m \to m/Y$  is the quotient map). From this fact part C of Lemma 3 follows immediately (cf. the proof of Lemma 1c).

The proof for part (i) of Theorem 3 is now obtained by replacing everywhere " $c_0$ " by "m" in the proof of Theorem 1, and of course using Lemma 3 in place of Lemma 1. Part (ii) is trivial since the existence of  $\tilde{T}$  implies in particular that m/Y is isomorphic to m/TY. For the proof of part (iii) we need the known

**Lemma 4.** Let  $K: m \to m$  be a weakly compact operator and let  $I: m \to m$  be the identity operator. Then I + K is a Fredholm operator of index 0.

Lemma 4 is a consequence of the fact that m has the so called Dunford-Pettis property and thus  $K^2$  is a compact operator. It is well known that the classical Riesz theory for compact operators applies also to operators which have a compact power. This is the assertion of Lemma 4 (for all these consult Dunford and Schwartz [4] in particular section VII 4).

**Proof of Theroem 3 part (iii).** Let R and S be operators from m into itself such that  $R_{|Y} = T$  and  $S_{|TY} = T^{-1}$  (R and S exist by Lemma 3a). The operator I - SR vanishes on Y and thus can be represented as  $Q\phi$  where  $\phi: m \to m/Y$  is the quotient map and Q is some operator from m/Y to m. Since m/Y is reflexive it follows that I - SR is w compact and hence by Lemma 4 SR is a Fredholm operator of index 0. Similarly, since m/TY is reflexive, it follows that RS is a Fredholm operator of index 0. Thus R and S are both Fredholm operators; hence by adding suitable operators of finite-dimensional range, if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that R and S are both maximal (i.e., are either 1-1 or onto). It now follows that index R + index S = 0. This proves that index R does not depend on the choice of R and thus i(T) is well defived. If i(T) = 0, i.e., index R = 0, then our assumption that R is maximal implies that T = R is an automorphism of m which extends T. Conversely, if a suitable T exists then index T = i(T) = 0. This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.

REMARKS. 1. Case (ii) may actually happen. It is well known for example

that there is a subspace Y of m such that m/Y is isomorphic to  $l_2$ . Since  $m \oplus m$  is isomorphic to m it follows that there is a subspace Z of m which is isomorphic to Y such that  $m/Z \approx m \oplus l_2$ . The same reasoning shows that whenever  $Y \subset m$  is such that m/Y is reflexive there exists an isomorphism T from Y into m which cannot be extended to an automorphism of m. The first part of the proof of Theorem 1 shows, however, that if m/Y is reflexive and T is an isomorphism of Y into m with m/T non-reflexive then there is an isomorphism of m into m which extends T. Thus in particular  $m/TY \approx m \oplus m/Y$ . (In fact, if Y and Z are isomorphic subspaces of m with m/Y reflexive, then either  $m/Z \approx m \oplus m/Y$  or there exists a finite dimensional space F such that  $m/Z \approx F \oplus m/Y$  or  $m/Y \approx F \oplus m/Z$ . See the next remark.)

- 2. Every integer can arise as i(T) for a suitable T for which case (iii) holds. Indeed let  $S: m \to m$  be the shift operator defined by  $S(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots) = (0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots)$ , and let Y be a subspace of m such that m/Y is infinite dimensional and reflexive. Then for every positive integer k  $S_{|Y|}^k$  is an isomorphism for which case (iii) holds and  $i(S_{|Y|}^k) = -k$ . To obtain a T with i(T) positive we just have to consider  $S_{|Y|}^{-k}$  where Y is a subspace of  $S^k m$  such that  $S^k m/Y$  is infinite dimensional and reflexive. If in particular  $Y \subset m$  is chosen so that  $m/Y \approx l_2$  then also  $m/S^k Y = l_2$  ( $k = 1, 2, \cdots$ ) and thus in case (iii) the additional assumption  $m/Y \approx m/TY$  does not ensure the existence of an automorphism T which extends T. Actually it seems to be an open problem whether in case (iii) it can happen that  $m/Y \approx m/TY$ . It can be proved that this question is equivalent to the following special case of a well-known problem of Banach: Let Z be an infinite-dimensional reflexive subspace of  $L_1(0,1)$ . Is Z always isomorphic to  $Z \oplus R$  where R is the one dimensional space?
- 3. The validity of statement (i) of Theorem 3 in the special case where  $Y = c_0$  and  $TY \subset c_0$  was conjectured by Berg [2]. Berg proved this conjecture in [2] under the additional assumption that  $\dim c_0/Tc_0 < \infty$ . The conjecture of Berg can be proved by a simpler argument than the one we gave for the general case. Indeed, in this special case  $T^{**}$  is an isomorphism of m into m whose restriction to  $c_0$  is T. Hence the first part of the proof given here (the construction of  $T_1$ ) is not needed in the proof of Berg's conjecture.

In view of Theorem 3 it is desirable to have a criterion for deciding whether a given subspace of m is of reflexive codimension. A criterion of this type is given in our next result.

THEOREM 4. Let Y be a subspace of m such that m/Y is reflexive. Then Y has a subspace isomorphic to m.

**Proof.** We note first that Y contains a subspace U with  $U \approx c_0$ . Indeed, if no such U exists, then Y and  $c_0$  contain no isomorphic infinite dimensional subspaces and thus by [14]  $Y + c_0$  is a closed subspace of m with dim  $Y \cap c_0 < \infty$ . But then  $c_0$  would be isomorphic to a subspace of m/Y, a contradiction. Further, by Theorem 3(i) we may assume without loss of generality that Y contains  $c_0$  itself. Indeed, there is an automorphism  $\tau$  of m such that  $\tau U = c_0$  and if  $\tau Y$  can be shown to contain a subspace isomorphic to m the same will be true for Y.

We shall prove that there is an infinite subset E of the integers N such that m(E) (= all elements of m which vanish outside E) is contained in Y. Since  $m(E) \approx m$  this will conclude the proof.

Let  $\{E_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}$  be an uncountable collection of infinite subsets of N such that  $E_{\alpha} \cap E_{\beta}$  is finite for every  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . Assume now that for every  $\alpha$  there is a  $y_{\alpha} \in m(E_{\alpha})$  such that  $\|y_{\alpha}\| = 1$  and  $y_{\alpha} \notin Y$ . Let  $\phi: m \to m/Y$  be the quotient map. Since A is uncountable there is a  $\delta > 0$  and an infinite subset  $A' \subset A$  such that  $\|\phi(y_{\alpha})\| \geq \delta$  for  $\alpha \in A'$ . Choose any sequence  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  in A'. Since  $c_0 \subset Y$  it follows that for every scalars  $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$ ,  $\|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \phi(y_{\alpha})\| \leq \max_i |a_i|$  and thus  $T: c_0 \to m/Y$  defined by  $T(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \phi(y_{\alpha_i})$  is a bounded operator. Since m/Y is reflexive T is weakly compact and thus compact. (Recall the well known facts (cf. e.g. [3]) that in  $l_1$  a sequence converges weakly if and only if  $T^*$  has the same property). It follows (by passing to a subsequence if necessary) that  $\phi(y_{\alpha_i})$  converges in norm to an element  $z \in m/Y$ . This however contradicts the facts that  $\|\phi(y_{\alpha_i})\| \geq \delta$  for every i and  $\|\sum_{i=1}^n \phi(y_{\alpha_i})\| \leq 1$  for every n. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY. Let Y be a subspace of m such that no subspace of Y is isomorphic to m. (In particular Y may be any separable subspace of m.) Then every isomorphism of Y into m has an extension to an automorphism of m.

**Proof.** Use Theorem 3(i) and Theorem 4.

REMARK. Theorem 4 shows that a subspace Y of m such that m/Y is reflexive must be a "large" subspace of m. However, m/Y may also be quite "large". In [15] it is proved that if  $\Gamma$  has the cardinality of the continuum then  $l_2(\Gamma)$  is isomorphic to a quotient space of m.

### 3. Remarks and open problems.

a. Subspace homogeneous Banach spaces. We call a Banach space X subspace homogeneous if for every two isomorphic subspaces Y and Z of X, both of infinite codimension, there is an automorphism of X which carries Y onto Z. As mentioned already in the introduction we conjecture that  $c_0$  and  $l_2$  are the only subspace homogeneous Banach spaces. At present we cannot even prove that this property characterizes  $c_0$  and  $l_2$  among the classical Banach spaces. Here are some partial results in this direction. All these results are simple consequences of known results. The space C(K) of continuous functions on a compact metric space K is not subspace homogeneous unless it is isomorphic to  $c_0$ . Indeed, it follows from a result of Amir [1] and Pełczyński [11, section 9] that if  $C(K) \approx c_0$  then there are subspaces Y and Z of C(K) with Y complemented, Z uncomplemented and  $Y \approx Z \approx C(\omega^{\omega})$  (= the space of continuous functions on the set of ordinals  $\{\xi; 0 \le \xi \le \omega^{\omega}\}$  in the order topology). (Moreover, Y and Z may be chosen such that  $C(K)/Y \approx C(K)/Z$ ; this bears on the remarks in S. below).

By a result of Rudin [17] and Rosenthal [12, p. 52]  $L_p(0,1)$  has for  $1 an uncomplemented subspace isomorphic to <math>l_2$ . Since, as is well known,  $L_p(0,1)$  also has complemented subspaces isomorphic to  $l_2$  (see e.g. [12] and its references) it follows that  $L_p(0,1)$  is not subspace homogeneous for  $1 . The same result of Rudin and Rosenthal combined with [9, Proposition 7] and a simple compactness argument show that for <math>1 , <math>l_p$  has an uncomplemented subspace isomorphic to  $l_p$ , and thus for these values of p,  $l_p$  is not subspace homogeneous. It is likely that the result of Rudin and Rosenthal can be extended to every p in the open interval (1.2) but at present this remains an open question.

By a result of Kadec [5],  $L_p(0,1)$  has a subspace X=X(p,r) such that  $L_p(0,1)/X\approx l_r$  whenever  $2\le r\le p$ . Since  $L_p(0,1)\oplus L_p(0,1)\approx L_p(0,1)$  it follows that if p>r>2 then  $L_p(0,1)/Y\approx l_r\oplus L_p(0,1)$ . Since  $l_r\ne l_r\oplus L_p(0,1)$  it follows that  $L_p(0,1)/Z\approx l_r$  and  $L_p(0,1)/Y\approx l_r\oplus L_p(0,1)$ . Since  $l_r\ne l_r\oplus L_p(0,1)$  it follows that  $L_p(0,1)$  is not subspace homogeneous for  $2< p<\infty$ . We cannot prove a similar statement for  $l_p$ ,  $2< p<\infty$ .

Since every separable Banach space is a quotient space of  $l_1$  and  $l_1 \oplus l_1 \approx l_1$  it follows that there are isomorphic subspaces Y and Z in  $l_1$  such that  $l_1/Y \approx c_0$  and  $l_1/Z \approx c_0 \oplus l_1$ . Since  $c_0 \approx c_0 \oplus l_1$  we get that  $l_1$  is not subspace homogeneous. A similar argument shows that  $L_1(0,1)$  is not subspace homogeneous.

We considered above only separable spaces X. However, we cannot prove that a subspace homogeneous Banach space is necessarily separable.

b. Quotient homogeneous spaces. We call a Banach space X quotient homogeneous if whenever Y and Z are two infinite dimensional subspaces of X with  $X/Y \approx X/Z$  then there is an automorphism of X which carries Y onto Z. We conjecture that  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  are the only quotient homogeneous Banach spaces. For reflexive X it is clear that X is subspace homogeneous if and only if  $X^*$  is quotient homogeneous. Hence for reflexive X this conjecture is the same as the one discussed in the preceding paragraphs. The results of Amir and Pełczyński mentioned above show also that a C(K) space is not quotient homogeneous if  $C(K) \approx c_0$  (K compact metric). We cannot however prove our conjecture for  $X = c_0$ .

The space  $L_1(0,1)$  is not quotient homogeneous. Indeed, let U be a subspace of  $l_1$  such that  $l_1/U \approx L_1(0,1)$ . Since  $l_1 \oplus L_1(0,1) \approx L_1(0,1)$  and  $U \oplus L_1(0,1)$   $\approx L_1(0,1)$  (by [7]) it follows that  $L_1(0,1)$  has a subspace Y with  $L_1(0,1)/Y \approx L_1(0,1)$  and  $Y \approx U \oplus L_1(0,1) \approx L_1(0,1)$ . Since clearly there is also a Z in  $L_1(0,1)$  with  $Z \approx L_1(0,1)$  and  $L_1(0,1)/Z \approx L_1(0,1)$  the desired result follows.

c. Extension of projections. The results of Section 2 also show the following: Let Y be a closed subspace of  $c_0$  and let  $P: Y \to Y$  be a projection in Y. Then there is a projection  $\tilde{P}$  in  $c_0$  such that  $\tilde{P}_{1Y} = P$ . If dim  $c_0/Y < \infty$  the existence of  $\tilde{P}$  is obvious; take e.g.  $\tilde{P} = PQ$  where Q is a projection of  $c_0$  onto Y. If  $\dim c_0/Y = \infty$  we proceed as follows: Let U and V be the subspaces of  $c_0 \oplus c_0$ defined by  $U = \{(x,0); x \in PY\}$ ,  $V = \{(0,x); x \in (I-P)Y\}$ . (I denotes the identity map of Y). For j = 1, 2, let  $i_j : c_0 \oplus c_0 \to c_0$  be defined by  $i_j(x_1, x_2) = x_j$ . By Theorem 1 there is a surjective isomorphism  $\tau: c_0 \oplus c_0 \to c_0$  such that  $\tau \mid U = i_1 \mid U$  and  $\tau \mid V = i_2 \mid V$ . Let Q be the projection on  $c_0 \oplus c_0$  defined by  $Q(x_1, x_2) = (x_1, 0)$ . The operator  $\tilde{P} = \tau Q \tau^{-1}$  has all the desired properties. The same argument shows that if  $Y \subset m$  with m/Y non-reflexive then every projection in Y can be extended to a projection in m. We do not know whether this holds also if m/Y is reflexive. The question involved here is the following: Let  $Y \subset m$ with m/Y reflexive and let Z be a complemented infinite dimensional subspace of Y. Does there exist a subspace U of m such that  $U \approx Z$  with m/U reflexive? For  $l_1$ , we get the following result: Let Y be a closed subspace in  $l_1$  and let  $\phi: l_1 \to l_1/Y$  be the quotient map. Let P be a projection in  $l_1/Y$ . Then there is a projection  $\tilde{P}$  in  $l_1$  such that  $\phi \tilde{P} = P \phi$ .

- d. The spaces  $c_0(\Gamma)$ ,  $l_1(\Gamma)$  and  $m(\Gamma)$ . Some of the results proved in Section 2 can be extended to the natural generalizations of  $c_0$ ,  $l_1$  and m namely to the spaces  $c_0(\Gamma)$ ,  $l_1(\Gamma)$  and  $m(\Gamma)$  for uncountable  $\Gamma$ . For example by using a generalization of Lemma 2 (cf. [6] and also [16]) the argument in the proof of Theorem 2 shows that if Y and Z are subspaces of  $l_1(\Gamma)$  with dim  $Y = \dim Z = \operatorname{card} \Gamma$ then for every surjective isomorphism  $T: l_1(\Gamma)/Y \to l_1(\Gamma)/Z$  there is an automorphism  $\tilde{T}$  of  $l_1(\Gamma)$  such that  $\psi \tilde{T} = T \phi$  where  $\phi: l_1(\Gamma) \to l_1(\Gamma)/Y$  and  $\psi: l_1(\Gamma) \to l_1(\Gamma)/Z$  are the quotient maps. For  $m(\Gamma)$  the arguments in Section 2 together with a generalization of Lemma 3 (cf. [16]) show the following: Let  $Y \subset m(\Gamma)$  and let T be an isomorphism of Y into  $m(\Gamma)$ . Assume that there exists a subspace U of  $m(\Gamma)$  such that  $U \approx c_0(\Gamma)$  and  $U \perp TY$  (i.e.,  $U \cap TY = \{0\}$ and U + TY closed in  $m(\Gamma)$ ). Then T can be extended to an isomorphism of  $m(\Gamma)$  into itself. If, in addition, there is a  $V \subset m(\Gamma)$  with  $V \approx c_0(\Gamma)$  and  $V \perp Y$ , then T has an extension to an automorphism of  $m(\Gamma)$ . Such U and V always exist if dim  $Y < \dim m(\Gamma) = 2^{card(\Gamma)}$ . For  $c_0(\Gamma)$  the situation is less clear since we do not know whether every operator from a subspace of  $c_0(\Gamma)$  into  $c_0(\Gamma)$ can be extended to an operator of  $c_0(\Gamma)$  into  $c_0(\Gamma)$ .
- e. Injective Banach spaces. A Banach space X is said to be injective if it satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 3a (where "m" is replaced by "X"). By using the results of [13], the first part of the argument of Theorem 1 may be used to show the following: Let X be infinite dimensional and injective, let A be a closed subspace of m, and let  $T: A \to X$  be an isomorphism into. Then if X/T(A) is not reflexive, there exists an into isomorphism  $\tilde{T}: m \to X$ , extending T. (Moreover if X/T(A) is reflexive, then it can be proved that X is isomorphic to m, and thus Theorem 3 applies directly in this case).

#### REFERENCES

- 1. D. Amir, Projections onto continuous function spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (1964), 396-402.
  - 2. I. D. BERG, Extensions of certain maps to automorphisms of m, to appear.
  - 3. M. M. Day, Normed linear spaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1962.
  - 4. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, Linear operators I, Interscience, New York, 1958.
- 5. M. I. Kadec, On linear dimension of the spaces  $L_p$ , Uspehi Mat. Nauk, 13 (1958), 95-98 (Russian).
  - 6. G. Köthe, Hebbare lokalkonvexe Raüme, Math. Ann. 165 (1966), 181-195.
  - 7. J. Lindenstrauss, On a certain subspace of l<sub>1</sub>, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., 12 (1964), 539-542.
  - 8. J. Lindenstrauss, On complemented subspaces of m, Israel J. Math. 5 (1967), 153-156.
  - 9. A. Pełczyński, Projections in certain Banach spaces, Studia Math., 19 (1960), 209-228.

- 10. A. Pełczyński, On strictly singular and strictly cosingular operators I, Bull. Polon. Acad Sci., 13 (1965), 31-36.
- 11. A. Pełczyński, Linear extensions, linear averagings, and their applications to linear topological classification of spaces of continuous functions, Dissertationes Mathematicae n. 58, 1968.
- 12. H. P. Rosenthal, *Projections onto translation invariant subspace of*  $L_p(G)$ , Mem., Amer. Math. Soc. n. 63, 1966.
- 13. H. P. Rosenthal, On complemented and quasi-complemented subspaces of quotients of C(S) for Stonian S, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sciences, U.S.A., 60 (1968), 1165-1169.
- 14. H. P. Rosenthal, On totally incomparable Banach spaces, J. Funct. Analysis. 4 (1969), 167-175.
- 15. H. P. Rosenthal, On quasi-complemented subspaces of Banach spaces, J. Funct. Analysis. 4 (1969) 176-214
- 16. H. P. Rosenthal, On relatively disjoint families of measures, with applications to Banach space theory, Studia Math. (to appear).
  - 17. W. Rudin, Trigonometric series with gaps, J. Math. and Mechanics, 9 (1960), 203-227.
- 18. A. Sobczyk, Projections of the space m on its subspace c<sub>0</sub>, Bull. Amer. Math. ≥oc., 47 (1941), 938-947.

HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY AND UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY